

N 67-17852

FACILITY FORM 602

(ACCESSION NUMBER)

12

(PAGES)

CR 70112

(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU)

(CODE)

19

(CATEGORY)

HC - 3.00
MF - .65

2 COPY.

CONCERNING METRIZATION AND SEPARATION

IN NORMAL, SEPARABLE MOORE SPACES

D. Reginald Traylor

Recently, [3] E. E. Grace and R. W. Heath raised a question which is stated below as Conjecture A.

Conjecture A: Suppose that S is a connected, normal Moore space such that S contains no cut points and it is true that if each of P and Q is a point of S and R is a region containing P then some separable, closed, connected subset N of R separates P from Q in S . Then S is separable.

The purpose of this note is to answer Conjecture A in the negative, provided there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space. It follows that, should Conjecture A be found true, it thus would remove the condition of the continuum hypothesis from Jones' result ([7], Theorem 5), that each normal, separable Moore space is metrizable, provided $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$.

For definitions and results related to the question of metrization of normal Moore spaces, refer to ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]).

The following lemmas prove helpful in describing the construction of a space which denies Conjecture A. There is much reliance on the methods which were employed in ([2], Theorem 1), ([9], Theorem 3 and Theorem 7), and ([10], Theorem 4). No proof of Lemma 1 is included here, as it only states formally a property of E^3 .

Lemma 1. There exist, in E^3 , a countably infinite discrete point set K

~~ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~DATE 11-11-81 BY 1043~~
NASA CENTER ONLY

and a collection G of mutually exclusive arcs such that

- i) if each of x and y is a point of K some arc in G has x as one end point and y as the other,
- ii) each arc in G has its end points in K , and
- iii) if g is an arc in G , then g contains no limit point of $G^* - g$.

Lemma 2. If there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space (S, Ω) then there exists one, say (S', Ω') , such that S' is a subset of E^3 and (S', Ω') is locally compact.

Proof. Denote by (S, Ω) a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space. There exists [7, Lemma C] an uncountable subset N of S with no limit point and a countable dense subset L of $S - N$. If $S^0 = L + N$, let (S^0, Ω^0) denote the subspace of (S, Ω) induced by the relative topology.

If x is a point of N , denote by $P_{x,1}, P_{x,2}, \dots$ a sequence of points of L which converges, in the Ω^0 sense, sequentially to x . In [2, Theorem 2] it is established that there exists a space (S_1, Ω_1) with the following properties:

- i) $S_1 = S^0$,
- ii) Ω_1 is the topology induced by the following definition of region:
The point set R is a region if and only if either
 - (a) for some point P of L , R is the degenerate set whose only point is P , or
 - (b) for some point x of N and some integer K , R is the set to which p belongs if and only if $P = x$ or $P = P_{x,j}$ for some $j \geq k$, and

- iii) (S_1, Ω_1) is normal, separable, locally compact, nonmetrizable, and no region has boundary.

If G_n^1 denotes the collection to which the region R belongs if and only if R is a degenerate region, or, for some point x of N and some positive integer $i \geq n$, $R = x + \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} P_{x,j}$ then $\{G_n^1\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ gives a development for (S_1, Ω_1) .

Denote by K the subset of E^3 and by G the collection of arcs described in Lemma 1. There exists a reversible transformation T from K onto L . Let G' denote the subcollection of G to which the arc $[a,b]$ belongs if and only if there exist a point x of N , points y and z of K , and a positive integer i such that $T(y) = P_{x,i}$, $T(z) = P_{x,i+1}$, and $a = y$, $b = z$, or $a = z$, $b = y$. Denote by M an uncountable subset of E^3 such that $\bar{M} = \bar{N}$, and M is a subset of $E^3 - (K + \overline{G'^*})$. It is no restriction to assume that T has been extended such that T is a reversible transformation from $M + K$ to $N + L$ with $T(M) = N$ and $T(K) = L$.

Let $S' = M + K$ and consider the space (S', Ω') where Ω' is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R is a region of G_n' means that there exists a region g of G_n^1 such that $T(g) = R$. Clearly, (S', Ω') is topologically equivalent to (S_1, Ω_1) and thus satisfies the lemma.

Now let $S_2 = S' + G'^*$ and consider the space (S_2, Ω_2) where Ω_2 is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that

~~THE STATEMENT THAT~~
~~THE STATEMENT THAT~~
~~THE STATEMENT THAT~~

the point set R is a region of G_n^2 means that either

- i) there exists a region g of G_n^1 such that P belongs to R if and only if either
 - (a) P is a point of g , or
 - (b) there exists an arc $[a,b]$ of G which has both end points in g and P is a point of $[a,b]$, or
 - (c) there exists an arc $[a,b]$ of G such that a is in g , b is not in g and P is some point of that component of $[a,b]$ which contains a and (in E^3) each of whose points is less than $1/n$ from a , or
 - (d) there exists an arc $[a,b]$ of G such that g contains b but not a and P is some point of that component of $[a,b]$ which contains b and (in E^3) each of whose points is less than $1/n$ from b , or
- ii) there exists an arc $[a,b]$ of G which contains a subsegment g whose length (in E^3) is less than $1/n$ and $R = g$.

It follows, as in [9, Theorem 3], that (S_2, Ω_2) with the development $\{G_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a normal, separable, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable space. The following lemma is thus established.

Lemma 3. If there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space then there exists one, say (S_2, Ω_2) , such that S_2 is a subset of E^3 and (S_2, Ω_2) is normal, separable, arcwise connected, locally connected and nonmetrizable.

Lemma 4. If there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space

(S, Ω) and N is a discrete uncountable subset of S then there exists a normal, separable, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable Moore space (S_2, Ω_2) which is embedded in a normal, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable Moore space (S_3, Ω_3) which contains a collection H of mutually exclusive domains such that $\bar{H} = \bar{N}$.

Proof. Consider (S_2, Ω_2) of Lemma 3. There exists a subset M of S_2 which is discrete and uncountable. Denote by Q a point of E^3 and by H a collection of mutually exclusive horizontal line segments of E^3 such that $\overline{(H^* + Q)}$ does not intersect S_2 in E^3 and $\bar{H} = \bar{M}$. There exists a reversible transformation T from H onto M .

Let $S_3 = S_2 + H^* + Q$ and consider the space (S_3, Ω_3) where Ω_3 is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R is a region of G_n^3 means that either

- i) there is a region g of G_n^2 such that g does not intersect M and $R = g$, or
- ii) there is a region g of G_n^2 which contains a point x of M such that the point P belongs to R if and only if P is a point of g or, if (a, b) is the element of H such that $T[(a, b)] = x$, then P is a point of (a, b) less than $1/n$ (in E^3) from a , or
- iii) there exists a segment (a, b) of H and a subsegment (c, d) of (a, b) such that the length of (c, d) , in E^3 , is less than $1/n$ and $R = (c, d)$, or
- iv) R is the set to which P belongs if and only if $P = Q$ or there exists a segment (a, b) of H such that P is a point of (a, b)

which is less than $1/n$ from b (in E^3).

Clearly, (S_3, Ω_3) , with the development $\{G_n^3\}_{n=1}^\infty$, satisfies the lemma.

Lemma 5. If there exists a Moore space (S, Ω) satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 4 then there exists a Moore space (S_3, Ω_3) satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 4 and, in addition, is embedded in a normal, connected, locally connected, arcwise connected Moore space (S_4, Ω_4) such that if each of P and Q is a point of S_3 and R is a region in (S_4, Ω_4) then there is a closed, connected, separable subset N of R which separates P from Q in (S_4, Ω_4) .

Proof. Consider the space (S_3, Ω_3) of Lemma 4. If W is a set such that $\bar{W} = \bar{S}_3$ and W does not intersect S_3 and for each positive integer n , C_n denotes a circle with radius $1/n$ such that no C_n intersects S_3 or W , then for each element w of W , let $C_{w,n} = w \times C_n$. There is a reversible transformation T from W onto S_3 . If $T(w) = P$, then with each point P of S_3 there is associated an infinite sequence of circles $C_{w,1}, C_{w,2}, \dots$. For each i and each point P of S_3 , let $C_{w,i} = C_i^P$.

Remark: In the space (S_2, Ω_2) each point of K is an end point of some arc of G' . The set K is embedded in (S_3, Ω_3) . Suppose that each of x and y is a point of K and $[x, y]$ is that arc of G' having end points x and y . There exist, in $[x, y]$, two subsets: $A = \bigcup A_{x,y,i}$ and $B = \bigcup B_{x,y,i}$ where $A_{x,y,1}, A_{x,y,2}, \dots$ converges sequentially and monotonically to x and $B_{x,y,1}, B_{x,y,2}, \dots$ converges sequentially and monotonically to y . If C_i^x is a circle, associated under T with x , and K_x is that subset of K

consist of those points each of which is an end point of an arc having the other end point x , there is a homeomorphic image of C_i^x , in E^3 , which contains $A_{x,y,i}$ in its boundary, for each y in K_x . For simplicity and notational purposes, it is assumed here that C_i^x has that property itself. Thus, in the following treatment, if x is in S_2 , each C_i^x contains points of S_3 as described above.

Let Ω_4 denote the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R is a region of G_n^4 means that either

- i) there is a point P of S_3 and a positive integer i such that $i \geq n$ and P belongs to a connected open (in the subspace C_i^P of E^3) subset of $(C_i^P - S_3 \cdot C_i^P)$ which has length (in E^3) less than $1/i$, or
- ii) there exist points x and y of K , an arc $[x,y]$ of G having x and y as end points, a positive integer i and a point $A_{x,y,i}$ such that P belongs to R if and only if either
 - (a) $P = A_{x,y,i}$, or
 - (b) P is a point of an open connected subset of $[x,y]$ which contains $A_{x,y,i}$ and is of length less than $1/n$, or
 - (c) P is a point of an open connected subset of C_i^x which contains $A_{x,y,i}$ and is of length less than $1/n$, or
 - (d) there exists a point $A_{x,y,j}$ or $B_{x,y,j}$ which belongs to the open connected set satisfying (b) such that P is a point of an open connected subset of some C_j^y which contains $A_{x,y,j}$ or $B_{x,y,j}$ and is of length less than $1/n$, or

- (e) replace $A_{x,y,i}$ by $B_{x,y,i}$ in ii), or
- iii) there exists a region g of G_n^3 such that P belongs to R if and only if either
- (a) P is a point of g , or
 - (b) there exist a point x of g and a positive integer $1 \leq n$ such that P is a point of C_i^x , or
 - (c) there is a point x of S_3 such that for some j , C_j^x intersects g at only one point, say y , and P is a point of an open connected subset of C_j^x which contains y and has length less than $1/n$.

It follows that (S_4, Ω_4) is a Moore space with development $\{G_n^4\}_{n=1}^\infty$. That it has the properties described in the lemma follows as in [2, Theorem 1] and from the property that if P is a point of S_3 and R is a region of (S_4, Ω_4) then there exists a closed, connected, separable subset N of R (in particular, some C_i^P) such that $S_4 - N = H + U$ where H and U are mutually separated, H is a subset of R and $S_4 - R$ is a subset of U .

Lemma 6. Suppose that (S_4, Ω_4) is a Moore space satisfying Lemma 5. Then for each positive integer $n \geq 4$, there exists a normal, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable Moore space (S_{n+1}, Ω_{n+1}) such that (S_n, Ω_n) is embedded in (S_{n+1}, Ω_{n+1}) , no point of S_{n+1} is a limit point of S_n in (S_{n+1}, Ω_{n+1}) , and it is true that if each of P and x is a point of S_n and R is a region in (S_{n+1}, Ω_{n+1}) containing P then there exists a closed, connected, separable subset N of R which separates P from x in (S_{n+1}, Ω_{n+1}) .

Proof. The construction only need by indicated. Consider (S_4, Ω_4) of Lemma

5. Each point of $S_4 - S_3$ is a point of some C_j^x for some point x of S_3 and some positive integer j . Indeed, no point of $S_4 - S_3$ is a limit point of any subset of S_3 in (S_4, Ω_4) . Using the constructive device of Lemma 5. there may be associated with each point P of $S_4 - S_3$ a sequence C_1^P, C_2^P, \dots of homeomorphic images of circles such that C_i^P intersects a connected subset of $C_j^x \cdot (S_4 - S_3)$ in two and only two points.

Definition of (S_5, Ω_5) : The statement that P is a point of S_5 means that P is a point of S_4 or P is a point of some C_i^y for some point y in $S_4 - S_3$ and some positive integer i . The statement that the point set R is a region in G_n^5 means that there exists a region g in G_n^4 such that the point z belongs to R if and only if either

- (a) there exist a point x of $S_4 - S_3$ and a positive integer j and a connected subset C of $C_j^x - C_j^x \cdot S_4$ which has length less than $1/n$ and z is a point of C , or
- (b)
 - i) z is a point of g , or
 - ii) there exists a point x of $(S_4 - S_3) \cdot g$ and a positive integer $i > n$ such that z is a point of C_i^x , or
 - iii) there exists a point x of $S_4 - S_3$ which is not in g but such that, for some positive integer j , C_j^x intersects g (this intersection consists of only one point) and z is a point of a connected subset of C_j^x which contains $C_j^x \cdot g$ and has length less than $1/n$.

Using an argument similar to that of the preceding lemma, it follows that (S_5, Ω_5) meets the conditions of the lemma.

Indeed, it is readily seen that (S_5, Ω_5) may be embedded in a space (S_6, Ω_6) in a similar fashion, meeting the conditions of the lemma. The lemma follows from a formal induction which only repeats the above described construction.

Theorem. If Conjecture A is true then each normal, separable Moore space is metrizable.

Proof. Assume there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space and consider the sequence $(S_1, \Omega_1), (S_2, \Omega_2), \dots$ given by the preceding lemmas. Let $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ and consider the space (S, Ω) where Ω is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R of G_n is a region means there exist a positive integer k and a sequence $R_k, R_{k+1}, R_{k+2}, \dots$ such that:

- i) for each i , R_{k+i} is a region of G_n^{k+i} in (S_{k+i}, Ω_{k+i}) ,
- ii) $R_{k+i+1} \cdot S_{k+i} = R_{k+i}$ for each i ,
- iii) R_{k+i} does not intersect S_{k+i-1} , and
- iv) $\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} R_i = R$.

Using an argument quite similar to that employed in [2, Theorem 1] or [10, Theorem 4], it follows that (S, Ω) is a normal, nonmetrizable, connected, arcwise connected Moore space. That (S, Ω) is not separable follows from the construction of (S_3, Ω_3) . Indeed, each (S_n, Ω_n) contains uncountably many mutually exclusive domains if $n \geq 3$. The construction of the space (S, Ω) was such that if each of P and x is a point and R is a region containing P then there exists a closed, separable, connected set (a topological copy of some circle in the construction) which separates P from x . This would deny the conjecture and the theorem is proved.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. H. Bing, "Metrization of topological spaces", Canad. J. Math.,
3 (1951), 175-186.
2. B. Fitzpatrick and D. R. Traylor, "Two theorems on metrizability of
Moore spaces", Pacific J. Math., to appear.
3. E. E. Grace and R. W. Heath, "Separability and metrizability in point-
wise paracompact Moore spaces", Duke Math. J., 31, (1964), 603-610.
4. R. W. Heath, "A non-pointwise paracompact Moore space with a point-
countable base", to appear.
5. ———, "Screenability, pointwise paracompactness and metrization
of Moore spaces", Canad. J. Math., 16 (1964), 763-770.
6. ———, "Separability and \mathfrak{N}_1 -compactness Coll. Math., 12, (1964),
11-14.
7. F. B. Jones, "Concerning normal and completely normal spaces", Bull.
Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1937), 671-677.
8. R. L. Moore, Foundations of Point Set Theorey, Amer. Math Soc. Coll.
Publ. 13, Revised Edition, (Providence, 1962).
9. D. R. Traylor, "Normal, separable Moore spaces and normal Moore spaces",
Duke Math. J., 30 (1963), 485-493.
10. ———, "Metrizability in normal Moore spaces", Pacific J. Math.,
to appear.
11. J. N. Younglove, "Concerning metric subspaces of non-metric spaces",
Fund. Math. 48 (1959), 15-25.

University of Houston

Houston, Texas

Foot note to appear at the bottom of the first page.

*This research was partially supported, by NASA Grant NGR 44-005-010.